



Submission Form (Form 5, Clause 6 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

Submission on notified proposal for a variation to the Proposed Marlborough Environment Pla	n	
Submitter details First Name		
Last Name		
Organisation (if applicable)		
Contact Person (if applicable)		
Email Address for service		
Email address as preferred address for service? Y $\square$ N $\square$		
Address for Service		
Post code _		
Could you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission?	Υ□	N 🗆
Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:	Υ	N 🗆
<ul><li>(a) adversely affects the environment; and</li><li>(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition?</li></ul>		
Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?	Υ	N 🗆
If others made a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing	Υ	N 🗆
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [give details]		
☐ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ Wish to have provision amended		
My submission is: [provide reasons]		

Continued	
I seek the following decision: [give precise details]	
Continue on a separate sheet if necessary	
Signature of submitter  'or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)	
Date	
Return submission to Marlborough District Council by one of the following options:	

Post: Attention Karen Bloor Deliver: Attention Karen Bloor Email:

Marlborough District Council wariations@marlborough.govt.nz

PO Box 443 15-21 Seymour Street

Blenheim 7240 Blenheim

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter