## Variation 7: Urban Residential Four (UR4)



## Submission Form (Form 5, Clause 6 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

Submission on notified proposal for a variation to the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan				
Submitter details First Name				
Last Name				
Organisation (if applicable)				
Contact Person (if applicable)				
Email Address for service				
	Address for Service			
Post code	2			
Could you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission?	Υ□	N 🗆		
Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:	Υ□	N 🗆		
<ul><li>(a) adversely affects the environment; and</li><li>(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition?</li></ul>				
Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?	ΥD	N 🗆		
If others made a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing		N 🗆		

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [give details]				

□ Support

Oppose

□ Wish to have provision amended

My submission is: [provide reasons]

Continued...

I seek the following decision: [give precise details]

*Continue on a separate sheet if necessary* 

## Signature of submitter

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

## Date

Return submission to Marlborough District Council by one of the following options:			
Post: Attention Karen Bloor	Deliver: Attention Karen Bloor	Email:	
Marlborough District Council	Marlborough District Council	variations@marlborough.govt.nz	
PO Box 443	15-21 Seymour Street		
Blenheim 7240	Blenheim		

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:*
- *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:*
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter